

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಭೀಮಪ್ಪನಾಯಕ್.—ಒಂದು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿ ನಿಂದ 104 ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಬಂದು ಒಂದೂ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ವೆಂದರೆ, ತಾವಾದರೂ ಏಕೆ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಕೇಳ ಕೂಡದೇ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a matter of opinion.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—Have all these grantees been given possession of lease lands ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That information is not available with me.

Promotion of Officials in the various Departments.

Q.—1480. Sri D. T. SEETHARAMA RAO (Bhadravathi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the purpose with which Official Memorandum No. SRD I-43—DIF 57, dated 17th April 1957 was issued ;

(b) whether the procedure adopted in promoting seniormost officials of the old Mysore Region is common to all the Departments ;

(c) whether the said Official Memorandum applies to both the Gazetted and Non Gazetted Officers ;

(d) whether after the formation of new Mysore, conducting Departmental Examinations is suspended pending formation of uniform Service Regulations to all the integrated areas ;

(e) if so, whether the seniormost official is denied promotion to higher grade on the ground that he has not passed all the Tests ;

(f) whether any promotions are made, ignoring the Memorandum referred to above ?

A.—Sri M. P. PATIL (Minister for Revenue) (On behalf of Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister)).—

(a) To minimise the need for review of promotions, etc., after the seniority lists are finalised.

(b) Promotions were generally made in all Departments of old Mysore State on the basis of seniority.

(c) Yes.

(d) Reference is invited to the Official Memorandum No. GAD (S-I)

286-RSR-57, dated 26—27th November 1957 (Placed on the Table of the House—Vide Library No. 13/L.A.).

(e) and (f) No such case has come to notice.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ನೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಹಾಕಿ ಒಂಭತ್ತು ತಿಂಗಳಾಯಿತು. ನೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಈಗ ತಯಾರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಪ್ರೊವಿಷನಲ್ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ತಯಾರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಆಪ್ತರು ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ನೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಕೈದರಾ ಬಾದ್ ವ್ಯಾಂತ್ಯದಲ್ಲರತಕ್ಕ ಗಮಾಸ್ತರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಇಂಗ್ಲೀಷ್ ಬರದೆ ಇದ್ದರೂ 300 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಂಬಳ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇಂಗ್ಲೀಷ್ ಬರುವುದು ಬಿಡುವುದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಿಲ್ಲ. Re-organisation Act ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಿಂದೆ ಯಾವ ಸಂಬಳ ಬರುತ್ತಿತ್ತೋ ಅದೇ ಸಂಬಳ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ನೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—(ಡಿ) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಮೇಜಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಹುಕುಮನ್ನು ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದರ ಮುಖ್ಯ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನೀವೇ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಆರ್. ಪರಮೇಶ್ವರಯ್ಯ.—ನೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಯಾರಿಗಾದರೂ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದೇನಾದರೂ ದೂರು ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know the number of promotions made pending official decision about the seniority list ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That information is not with me.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣ ಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ತಯಾರಿಸಿರುವವರು ಫೈನಲ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರೇ ಅಥವಾ ಆಯಾ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರೇ ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I will explain the procedure, Sir. In the first place, for the preparation of the Inter-State Seniority List, departmental committees were formed, with the head of the department, Secretary of the department and one or two senior officers of that department. That committee was to prepare the seniority list of the officers in that particular department. While forming that committee care was taken to see that these officers represented the different areas of the integrated State. For instance, when the Secretary belonged to the Old Mysore area and the Head of the Department to Old Hyderabad then the senior officer was taken from Bombay. So also when the

Secretary belonged to Bombay area and the Head of the Department to old Mysore area the senior officer was chosen from the Hyderabad area. As far as possible the committee consists of officers coming from various parts of the integrated Mysore State. That was the committee appointed for the Department. Then there is another committee presided over by the Chief Secretary. The Chief Secretary is the Chairman of the Committee. Mr. Rebello who comes from the Bombay area and who was one of the members of the committee of that area is one of the members. Then Sri Puttarangaswamy who comes from the Old Mysore area, is one of the members of that committee and then Sri Vasudeva Rao who comes from the Hyderabad area is one of the members of that committee. These four persons formed the committee entrusted with the preparation of seniority list.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is it not a fact that though the old Mysore State consists of more than fifty per cent of the area of the new State, only one officer is represented in that committee?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—It is not a question of representation. It is a question of having the officers who knew the conditions of that particular area.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is it not a fact that even the one officer from the Mysore area is not interested in safeguarding the interests of the officials of the old Mysore State?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, this is outside the scope of the question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—1957ನೆಯ ಜನವರಿ 28ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ಗೆಜೆಟ್ ನೋಟೀಫಿಕೇಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾದರಾದ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ 23 ಜನರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ 15 ಜನರನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಮತ್ತೆ 39ನೆಯ ನಂಬರಿನವರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಏರಿಸಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ಸೀನಿಯರ್ ಆಗಿರುವವರನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಜೂನಿಯರ್ ಒಬ್ಬರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಕೆಲಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದರು?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, I think this is outside the scope of the question. He has referred to the selection list. Here we are concerned only with the circular. That is only a selection list so far as the promotion of the Deputy

Commissioners is concerned. Then there is another list for the I.A.S. officers. I am not in a position to give a definite reply so far as the selection to that particular list is concerned.

2 P.M.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—What are the facts which made the Government to give promotion to one officer ignoring his seniors?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—If a question is asked regarding a particular case, I shall be in a position to give answer.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—What are the general factors that made the Government to give a preference to an officer over 15 others?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—In the first place, the Hon'ble Member presumes that cases of 15 others have been overlooked. I shall have to examine it. Regarding the particular case of promotion, I shall have to examine the records before I could give answer.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are the Government aware that in respect of this matter it is only the officers of the old Mysore State that stand to lose?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That is not correct.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ತಯಾರು ಮಾಡಿದ ನಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಕ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯನ್ನು ಕಡೆಗಾಣಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ದೂರುಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are the Government aware that a particular officer who was the Deputy Superintendent of Police and who was considered to be a very inefficient officer has been appointed as District Superintendent of Police in the new Mysore State?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I am not aware.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—I can give the name. The Hon'ble Speaker objects to names being mentioned. In view of the fact that Hon'ble the Revenue Minister has also been giving names of officers.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—He has not given names. As far as I remember, he stated that he was not aware of individual cases.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—My question is, are the Government aware that an officer who was temporarily a District Superintendent of Police in the integrated area and came to Mysore and who was reverted as an Assistant Superintendent of Police, is now promoted as the District Superintendent of Police, ignoring the interests of 15 other persons?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I am not aware of that.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—There is an officer who has put in hardly six years of service and he is appointed as a D.I.G.

Mr. SPEAKER.—How can the Hon'ble Minister know individual cases?

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—When specific instances are brought to the notice of the Hon'ble Minister on the floor of this House, he says that he has no information. In the printed answer it is stated that no such case has come to their notice. If such a thing happens what can the legislators do? I seek the protection of the Chair.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The Hon'ble Member seems to be a little confused about the question and the reply given. To the question whether any promotions are made ignoring the Memorandum referred to, the reply is that no such cases have come to notice. There were objections and representations also. I stated just now that after the tentative list was drawn up, the officers were expected to send in their representations.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—The confusion is on the other side. In 99 per cent of the cases, the answers furnished are defective.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If you are entitled, they are also entitled to say that you are confused.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—How many such representations have been received by the Government in response to this memorandum and in respect of which officers are disgruntled or dissatisfied?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—There are no complaints against the Memorandum

but there are complaints about the seniority list.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೀನಿಯರ್ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಅವರನ್ನು overlook ಮಾಡಿ ಅವರಿಗಿಂತ ಜೂನಿಯರ್ ಗಳಾಗಿರುವವರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ನಿಜವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ನನಗೆ ಅನ್ನಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Are the Government aware that 13 people in the Revenue Survey Department have been promoted to gazetted ranks against the Government Order?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I cannot tell the details of individual cases.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it not a fact that the question of seniority has been overlooked in the Education Department when the D.D.P.I.s were promoted?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I am not aware of that.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it not a glaring blunder committed by the Government in promoting junior officer overlooking the interests of the seniors?

Mr. SPEAKER.—After all you cannot assert. He has to find out whether such a thing has happened.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I seek your protection. Let this question be taken up when the Chief Minister is present.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That cannot be done. I have already given ten minutes.

Sri J. P. SARWESH.—May I know whether it is a fact that 750 persons have submitted representations against the promotions given?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I have just now explained the procedure. Objections to the Memorandum have been submitted to the Government and they are being examined.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ವಿಧಾನಸೌಧದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯುಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಗಳಿಗೆ 500 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಂಬಳ ಮತ್ತು ಹೊರಗಡೆಯಿಂದ ಬಂದವರಿಗೆ 1,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಂಬಳ ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇವರಲ್ಲಿ ಅತ್ಯಲ್ಪ ಯುಂಟಾಗ ಕೆಲಸ ನಡೆಯದೆ ಇರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ ಖುಷಿ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದು ಇದರೊಳಗೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.